

**BEYOND TECHNOLOGY: CONFRONTING
COMMUNICATION BARRIERS TO ACHIEVE EFFECTIVE
TELEHEALTH IN RURAL NIGERIA.**

Obi Evelyn Chinwe

**Department of Mass Communication, Nnamdi Azikiwe University,
Awka.**

chinwe.obi@unizik.edu.ng<https://orcid.org/0009-0002-1400-390X>

Gloria Nneka Ono

**Department of Mass Communication, Nnamdi Azikiwe University,
Awka**

gn.ono@unizik.edu.ng<https://orcid.org/0009-0007-6754-4589>

Chiazor Anthonia Chiaghana

chiazorchiazor3@gmail.com<https://orcid.org/0009-0001-0717-7373>

Okoli Ogechukwu Nkiru

**Department of Mass Communication, Nnamdi Azikiwe University,
Awka. Ogechukwuokoil59@gmail.com
<https://orcid.org/0009-0008-1071-4505>**

Moneke Amaka Julieth

aj.moneke@unizik.edu.ng<https://orcid.org/0009-0003-9362-1251>

Corresponding author: chinwe.obi@unizik.edu.ng 08032217932

Abstract

Telehealth has become an essential innovation in global healthcare delivery, bridging geographical barriers and providing remote medical access to underserved populations. In Nigeria, particularly, telehealth offers significant promise but faces multiple constraints that undermine its effectiveness. This position paper explores the communication-specific barriers that impede successful telehealth interactions between patients and healthcare providers in these areas. Beyond infrastructural limitations, issues such as low digital literacy, poor Internet connectivity, inconsistent power supply, linguistic differences, and socio-cultural expectations continue to obstruct

effective communication and understanding. These barriers often result in misinterpretations, patient dissatisfaction, and reduced trust in virtual care systems. Drawing on recent empirical evidence and theoretical insights, this paper argues that improving telehealth outcomes in rural Nigeria requires not only technological investment but also the strengthening of communicative competence, enabling patients and providers to interact with clarity, empathy, and cultural sensitivity. The paper proposes evidence-based strategies, including digital literacy training, culturally responsive communication frameworks, improved broadband access, and robust telehealth policy integration. By situating communication as the cornerstone of digital healthcare, this work contributes to the broader discourse on health equity, technology adoption, and sustainable telemedicine practices in Nigeria's rural healthcare landscape.

Keywords: Telehealth communication, rural healthcare, Nigeria, digital literacy, communication barriers, healthcare access

Introduction

Telehealth refers to the use of telecommunication and digital technologies to deliver healthcare services remotely. Although its origins date back to early medical exchanges via telegraphy (Gbolahan et al., 2023), telehealth has, over the past decade, become a transformative force in global healthcare delivery. By enabling remote consultations through mobile phones, video conferencing, and internet-based platforms, it has expanded access to care, particularly for underserved populations (Li, 2025; Seuren et al., 2024). Its adoption accelerated during the COVID-19 pandemic, as health systems sought to maintain continuity of care while reducing physical contact (Gbolahan et al., 2023). In Nigeria, telehealth is widely regarded as a promising strategy for improving healthcare access in rural communities where physician shortages, poor transportation infrastructure, and high travel costs limit access to specialist services (Ogunniran, 2024).

Despite this promise, telehealth implementation in rural Nigeria remains constrained by systemic challenges. While infrastructural barriers such as unreliable electricity and weak broadband connectivity are frequently cited (Olufunlayo et al., 2023), emerging scholarship suggests that communication quality is an even more decisive factor in telehealth effectiveness (Seuren et al., 2024). Effective communication is central to accurate diagnosis, treatment adherence, and patient satisfaction (White et al., 2024). When interactions are fragmented,

distorted, or misunderstood, clinical outcomes suffer regardless of technological sophistication (Cole et al., 2025). In rural Nigeria, linguistic diversity, limited digital literacy, and cultural expectations surrounding face-to-face care further complicate remote consultations (Arize&Onwujekwe, 2017). These factors often result in misinterpretation, reduced trust, and incomplete information exchange.

This position paper therefore argues that the central challenge to telehealth effectiveness in rural Nigeria is not merely technological but communicative. Unless communication barriers—linguistic, cultural, digital, and institutional—are addressed as core determinants of care quality, telehealth will fall short of its equity promise. By foregrounding communication as the linchpin of remote healthcare delivery, this paper contributes to ongoing debates on digital health equity and offers context-specific insights for strengthening telehealth systems in rural Nigeria.

Objectives

1. To examine the key communication-related barriers that hinder effective telehealth delivery in rural Nigeria.
2. To analyses how communication breakdowns affect patient–provider relationships, diagnostic accuracy, and health outcomes.
3. To propose evidence-based strategies and policy interventions to enhance communication effectiveness in rural telehealth systems.

Statement of the Problem

Effective communication lies at the heart of successful telehealth delivery. Yet, in rural Nigeria, this critical element is often the weakest link in telehealth interactions. Although infrastructural deficiencies such as unreliable electricity and poor Internet access pose significant obstacles, the deeper challenge lies in how patients and healthcare providers exchange, interpret, and respond to health information during remote consultations (Abayomi & Lukose, 2024). Many rural patients struggle with low digital and health literacy, unfamiliarity with telehealth interfaces, and linguistic or dialectal differences that hinder message clarity. On the other hand, providers frequently lack training in compensatory communication strategies such as explicit questioning, empathetic feedback, or simplified language use that can bridge technological and cultural divides (Seuren et al., 2024).

Misunderstandings, delayed responses, and incomplete information transfer often lead to diagnostic inaccuracies and diminished trust in virtual care. Despite these realities, research in Nigeria has largely focused on technological barriers, neglecting the interactional and communicative dynamics that determine telehealth effectiveness. Addressing these barriers is essential for ensuring that telehealth in rural Nigeria fosters not just connectivity but meaningful, patient-centered communication that supports safe, equitable, and effective healthcare delivery.

Theoretical Framework

This position paper is grounded in Patient-Centered Communication (PCC) Theory, which serves as the primary conceptual lens for examining the effectiveness of telehealth in rural Nigeria. PCC theory posits that health outcomes are shaped by the quality of interaction between healthcare providers and patients rather than by technology or clinical expertise alone (Street et al., 2009). It emphasises clear information exchange, shared understanding, empathy, patient participation, and relational trust as key mechanisms through which effective care is achieved.

Applied to telehealth, PCC theory challenges infrastructure-driven assumptions that equate digital connectivity with improved healthcare outcomes. While telehealth platforms enable remote interaction, mediated communication can disrupt conversational flow, reduce non-verbal cues, and limit opportunities for clarification particularly in rural contexts characterised by unstable connectivity, linguistic diversity, and limited digital literacy. When these interactional processes are weakened, core principles of patient-centred care, such as responsiveness and collaborative decision-making, are compromised.

In rural Nigerian settings, effective telehealth communication requires tailoring explanations to patients' literacy levels, cultural expectations, and language preferences. However, many platforms lack adaptive features that support multilingual engagement or culturally responsive interaction. Connectivity interruptions and high data costs further constrain meaningful dialogue, reducing opportunities for patients to ask questions and fully articulate symptoms. These limitations increase diagnostic uncertainty, reduce adherence, and weaken trust.

Grounded in PCC theory, this paper reconceptualizes telehealth as a mediated relational process rather than a purely technological intervention. It argues that communicative clarity, cultural alignment,

participatory engagement, and trust-building are essential for effective telehealth delivery. Embedding these principles into policy, training, and platform design is therefore both theoretically justified and practically necessary.

Theoretical Problem

Telehealth in rural Nigeria is largely framed within technology-driven models that assume connectivity automatically produces effective healthcare delivery. However, communication theory demonstrates that access to a channel does not guarantee shared understanding or relational trust. Drawing from classical communication models, mediated interaction is vulnerable to technical, semantic, cultural, and institutional “noise.” In rural telehealth contexts, this noise appears as unstable bandwidth, digital illiteracy, language barriers, and socio-cultural misalignment. Despite this, current telehealth frameworks remain predominantly infrastructure-focused and under-theorize the interactional processes that determine care quality. The core theoretical problem is therefore clear: telehealth is treated as a technological intervention, yet its effectiveness fundamentally depends on communication dynamics that are insufficiently integrated into policy and implementation models.

Theoretical Contribution

This paper contributes by repositioning communication as the primary determinant of telehealth effectiveness. It extends patient-centered communication theory into digital health contexts and integrates communication competence and intercultural perspectives into telehealth analysis. It introduces the concept of Communication Equity, expanding digital divide discussions beyond access to include disparities in comprehension, participation, and trust. Additionally, it reconceptualizes telehealth “noise” as multidimensional—technical, semantic, cultural, and institutional—providing a clearer analytical lens for examining rural digital health failures. Overall, the paper shifts telehealth theory from infrastructure sufficiency to interactional sufficiency.

Theoretical/ Practical Implications

Telehealth research must adopt communication-centred frameworks that account for linguistic diversity, cultural context, digital literacy, and trust formation. Future studies should operationalise Communication Equity as a measurable construct to assess digital health outcomes in

rural settings. However, Policy and practice must prioritise communication standards alongside technological expansion. Telehealth training should emphasise digital communication competence and cultural sensitivity, while platforms must support low-bandwidth functionality and linguistic adaptability. Regulatory frameworks should institutionalise clear communication protocols to strengthen consistency, safety, and trust.

Literature Review

Why communication matters in telehealth

Communication is central to all forms of human endeavours (Odoemelam&Orighomisan, 2025). In health care, communication breakdown can lead to delays in diagnosis, inaccurate treatment strategies and noncompliance with treatment guidelines, which can all negatively affect the patient's outcome (Muoneke&Nwafor, 2024). The World Health Organization (WHO), according to Odoemelam (2020), defines effective communication in health care as the accurate, timely and complete exchange of information between health care provider, patient and their families. Communication, therefore, in a health setup involves careful consideration of the target audience. For communication to be effective, it must be audience-centered. In this case, the patients are the audience, and considering the unique characteristics of the target audience, tailoring health messages to fit the socio-cultural context ensures greater acceptance and understanding.

Similarly, Telehealth according to Osei-Tutu and Nwosu (2024), is the use of telecommunication and information technologies to provide healthcare services remotely. Its history, as asserted by Ogunniran et al. (2024), can be traced back to the early 1900s, when medical professionals used telegraphy to exchange medical information. However, the use of Telehealth in Africa is a more recent phenomenon that has evolved over the past few decades. The Key aspects of Telehealth, however, are virtual consultations, remote monitoring, health education, e-prescriptions, and follow-up care. Thus, a diabetic patient in the rural area can use telehealth to send blood sugar readings to a doctor in a city hospital and receive treatment advice instantly, without travelling.

Telehealth, therefore, as portrayed in extant literature, is fundamentally a communicative enterprise: technology transports clinical dialogue (audio, video, images, messages), e.t.c. Between physically separated actors, but it does not guarantee mutual understanding. The quality of

that mediated interaction, clarity of questions, adequacy of patient description, timely feedback, and the capacity to repair misunderstandings directly influence diagnostic accuracy, treatment adherence, patient satisfaction, and safety (Seuren et al., 2024). Thus, understanding telehealth requires analyzing both the technical stack and the interactional practices that constitute clinical communication.

Communication-Related Barriers in Rural Telehealth.

Telehealth cannot succeed in rural Nigeria unless communication is treated as a core determinant of care, not a secondary consideration. This paper argues unequivocally that while technology enables remote consultations, communication is the true engine that drives telehealth effectiveness, and without addressing communication barriers, any investment in digital health infrastructure will fail to deliver equitable or clinically meaningful outcomes. As Seuren et al. (2024) rightly opined, the effectiveness of telehealth depends not only on technology but also on the quality of communication between patients and healthcare providers. Likewise, Abubakar et al. (2023) emphasized that communication within telehealth encounters is a dynamic process involving transmission, interpretation, and feedback. When these processes are interrupted, whether by technical, linguistic, cultural, or human factors, the core objective of telehealth, which is accurate and empathetic care delivery, is fundamentally compromised. Therefore, if the Nigerian health system ignores communication barriers, telehealth will merely reproduce the inequalities of the offline healthcare system. Below are the communication barriers that undermine effective telehealth in rural Nigeria:

1. Technological and Infrastructural Barriers

This paper takes the firm position that Nigeria's infrastructural deficits are not just technical shortcomings, they are communication failures that directly endanger diagnostic accuracy and patient safety. Olufunlayo et al. (2023, as cited in Cole et al. 2025) pointed out that infrastructural deficits remain the foremost barrier to effective telehealth communication. When electricity outages, poor broadband coverage, and inadequate telecommunication networks lead to poor audio or video quality, the result is disrupted message transmission and incomplete consultations. These interruptions do not merely inconvenience users; they distort clinical meaning and prevent providers from making informed decisions.

Abubakar et al. (2023) found that 62% of Nigerian healthcare workers in rural facilities experienced connectivity interruptions during teleconsultations—an alarming statistic that demonstrates how communication fidelity is routinely compromised. Furthermore, the high cost of data bundles forces patients to shorten consultations, leading to rushed exchanges that lack adequate feedback or clarification (Okonkwo & Eze, 2022). Thus, infrastructural weaknesses systematically obstruct the communication flow that telehealth depends on, making the system unreliable for both patients and providers.

2. Digital Literacy and Communication Competence

This paper argues that digital literacy is not merely a technical skill—it is a prerequisite for meaningful participation in telehealth communication. Low digital literacy among rural and elderly populations means that many patients cannot navigate telehealth platforms, upload medical records, or use video conferencing tools effectively (Abayomi & Lukose, 2024). As Eze et al. (2023) observed, these limitations create one-sided communication where the provider dominates the interaction and the patient remains passive or confused.

The communication deficit is not limited to patients alone. Some healthcare providers lack training in telecommunication etiquette and strategies for maintaining clarity, empathy, and rapport through digital platforms (Seuren et al., 2024). Face-to-face cues such as eye contact, facial expressions, and gentle touch, critical for building trust, are either diminished or missing entirely in telehealth. Without compensatory communication strategies, consultations become fragmented, sterile, and less effective. Therefore, telehealth training must prioritize communication competence alongside technical instruction.

3. Linguistic and Socio-Cultural Barriers

In multilingual societies like Nigeria, language is not a neutral medium; it actively shapes how health information is exchanged, interpreted, and acted upon. Al Shamsi et al. (2020) noted that language differences, dialect variations, and cultural beliefs strongly influence telehealth communication. When providers and patients do not share the same language, miscommunication is not just possible, it is inevitable. Ogunyemi et al. (2021) similarly observe that many health concepts lack direct equivalents in Nigerian dialects, making precise explanation challenging.

Culture also shapes expectations of what “real care” should look like. In southeastern Nigeria, many patients believe effective care requires physical presence or touch, and thus perceive telehealth as impersonal (Arize&Onwujekwe, 2017). This perception leads many to under-report symptoms or provides vague descriptions, weakening diagnostic precision and treatment adherence. The argument here is clear: if telehealth does not accommodate cultural and linguistic realities, it will fail to gain legitimacy among rural users.

4. Institutional and Policy Barriers

Institutional failure is one of the most profound communication barriers because it creates systemic inconsistency. Nigeria still lacks a comprehensive telehealth policy framework and standardized communication protocols to guide virtual interactions (WHO, 2019). Without such guidelines, telehealth programs operate in a vacuum of uncertainty regarding consent, confidentiality, documentation, and patient communication procedures (Kamal, 2020).

As Olufunlayo et al. (2023) argue, inadequate government investment and fragmented digital health policies constrain the ability of rural health institutions to adopt sustainable telehealth communication systems. This paper maintains that without institutional coherence and clear standards for communication, telehealth will continue to operate haphazardly, producing unreliable outcomes for patients and providers alike.

5. Interpersonal and Trust-Related Barriers

Trust is the foundation of all healthcare communication, yet it is often undermined in telehealth due to physical distance and technological mediation. Odoemelum&Orighomisan (2025) observed that rural patients frequently doubt the confidentiality of virtual consultations, questioning whether their personal data is safe or whether the person on the screen genuinely understands them. When consultations suffer delays, poor sound quality, or misinterpretations, patients lose confidence, even when the provider is competent (Seuren et al., 2024). Once trust erodes, adhering to medical advice becomes unlikely. Olufunlayo et al. (2023) emphasize that mistrust renders telehealth ineffective regardless of technological capability. Thus, this paper argues that building trust through clear, empathetic, and culturally attuned communication must be treated not as an optional enhancement but as a core requirement for telehealth success.

Effects of communication barriers on patients and providers

Communication barriers are not merely operational inconveniences in telehealth; they constitute a direct threat to the safety, accuracy, and equity of healthcare delivery. This paper argues that without addressing communication breakdowns, especially in rural Nigerian contexts, telehealth cannot fulfil its promise of improving access and reducing disparities. Evidence consistently shows that when communication channels are disrupted or unclear, the clinical utility of telehealth declines significantly (Smith et al., 2021). In rural settings where technological instability and low digital literacy are common, communication deficits are amplified, making telehealth interactions less reliable and sometimes clinically hazardous.

From the patient's standpoint, misunderstandings during remote consultations can lead to incomplete or inaccurate symptom reporting, which compromises diagnosis. Several studies reveal that rural patients often struggle to articulate symptoms over voice or video calls, especially when audio quality is poor or when they lack the vocabulary to describe their condition (Adepoju et al., 2022). Many Nigerian rural patients explicitly report that remote consultations feel "less real" because "the doctor could not see me" or "I could not show my illness clearly," resulting in reduced trust and lower adherence to treatment (Nwosu & Okafor, 2023). When patients distrust the process, they are less likely to follow medical advice, schedule follow-up consultations, or use telehealth again, undermining the very goal of expanding access to care.

On the provider side, communication barriers create additional clinical risks. Poor-quality audio or video forces clinicians to spend more time clarifying information, repeating questions, or requesting alternative descriptions of symptoms. This increases cognitive load and contributes to diagnostic uncertainty, especially in cases where physical cues, such as swelling, skin discolouration, gait, or breathing patterns, are difficult to observe through low-bandwidth connections (WHO, 2020). Providers in rural Nigerian telehealth programmes often report frustration and reduced confidence in remote care, which in turn lowers their willingness to adopt telehealth consistently (Olayemi & Musa, 2022). Telehealth cannot succeed when the professionals tasked with delivering it perceive the mode as unreliable or inefficient.

More importantly, this paper contends that communication barriers in telehealth exacerbate rural, urban health inequities. While urban

residents often benefit from higher bandwidth, better devices, and greater digital literacy, rural patients frequently experience truncated or lower-fidelity consultations. This means that rural users are, in effect, receiving “second-tier” telehealth services, which directly contradicts the equity objectives that telemedicine is supposed to address (Chukwu et al., 2024). The Telemedicine in Rural Africa Review (2024) argues that communication failures, when combined with infrastructural weaknesses, systematically disadvantage rural populations by reducing the clarity, completeness, and effectiveness of remote care. Put simply, poor communication not only hinders telehealth but also actively widens the gap between rural and urban health outcomes. Therefore, this paper posits that effective communication must be recognized as a core determinant of telehealth success, not an optional enhancement. Any telehealth model that ignores communication quality will inevitably deliver inequitable, lower-quality care, and rural Nigerians will continue to bear the brunt of these deficits.

Evidence-Based Strategies and Policy Interventions for Enhancing Communication in Rural Telehealth

Telehealth systems in rural Nigeria (and comparable low-resource settings) risk becoming second-class care unless communication effectiveness is elevated to a policy priority alongside infrastructure. It is not enough to connect clinics to the Internet; governments, health systems, and international partners must invest deliberately in communication-centered strategies that ensure clarity, trust, and equity in remote care. The following evidence-based strategies and policy interventions are essential, and without them, telehealth will perpetuate rather than ameliorate rural health inequities.

1. Build Communication Competence through Training and Capacity-Building

First, providers must be trained not just in how to *use* telehealth technology, but in how to communicate effectively through it. Evidence suggests that remote provider-to-provider communication improves clinical outcomes when telehealth systems support structured case review, mentoring, and feedback (Totten et al., 2022). Training curricula should incorporate techniques such as *teach-back*, explicit safety netting, and verbal-pacing adjustments, all of which enhance understanding in the absence of non-verbal cues. In parallel, community health workers and telehealth facilitators should be trained to coach patients in navigating digital platforms, preparing for virtual visits, and

articulating symptoms. By strengthening human capacity, communication breakdowns can be mitigated even in low-bandwidth settings.

2. Institutionalize Low-Bandwidth, Multimodal Telehealth Solutions

Second, policy must mandate the use of low-bandwidth and multimodal communication modalities, such as voice calls, SMS/USSD, and asynchronous store-and-forward messaging—especially in rural areas where high-speed Internet is unreliable (Cole et al., 2025). Rather than forcing all remote care into video, systems should adapt dynamically based on connectivity, user literacy, and context. This flexibility ensures that communication remains continuous, not fragmented. Multimodal designs also reduce the cost burden on patients, who may avoid protracted video calls because of expensive data, thereby enhancing adherence and trust.

3. Promote Localized, Culturally Responsive Telehealth Content

Third, telehealth systems must be culturally and linguistically tailored. Policies should require that telehealth platforms and patient education materials are available in local dialects and culturally appropriate formats. This is critical because language barriers and culturally rooted beliefs influence how health information is exchanged and trusted (Al Shamsi et al., 2020; Ogunyemi et al., 2021). For example, community-led telehealth campaigns could use native languages, idiomatic expressions, and analogies rooted in local health practices. Doing so builds rapport, reduces miscommunication, and fosters community ownership of telehealth.

4. Develop and Enforce Telehealth Communication Guidelines and Standards

Fourth, policymakers need to establish clear governance frameworks focused on communication quality. Many rural telehealth programs currently lack consistent protocols for consent, privacy, documentation, and patient–clinician communication (Cole et al., 2025). Governments should develop national telemedicine guidelines that mandate communication best practices, including what constitutes acceptable call quality, how to handle dropped connections, and how to follow up with patients when misunderstandings occur. Regulatory clarity will help standardize care, enforce accountability, and build trust among both providers and users.

5. Strengthen Trust through Community Engagement and Feedback Mechanisms

Finally, policy should incentivize community engagement and feedback loops. Telehealth programs must create formal channels for patient feedback, such as structured post-consultation surveys, community advisory boards, and patient-reported outcome measures, to identify recurring communication problems. Research shows that user input is critical for refining telehealth interactions and building trust (Gustavson et al., 2023). Engaging local leaders, community health committees, and patient representatives ensures that telehealth evolves in culturally responsive ways and strengthens legitimacy and acceptability in rural populations.

Conclusion

This paper has established, that communication is the defining element that determines whether telehealth succeeds or fails in rural Nigeria. While digital infrastructure, telecommunication tools, and remote-care platforms are necessary enablers, they are not sufficient on their own. As Odoemelam&Orighomisan (2025) rightly argue, communication underpins all human interaction, and in healthcare, its failure can lead to delayed diagnoses, inappropriate treatments, and poor health outcomes (Muoneke&Nwafor, 2024). Telehealth, despite its promise to expand access and bridge geographic divides, cannot achieve its goals unless communication is treated as a core clinical function rather than a secondary technical feature. Telehealth itself is fundamentally a communication-based enterprise, as demonstrated by Osei-Tutu and Nwosu (2024) and historically traced by Ogunniran et al. (2024). Technology transmits the dialogue, but it does not guarantee understanding. As Seuren et al. (2024) emphasize, the effectiveness of telehealth rests on the quality of mediated interaction, how clearly the patient describes symptoms, how well the provider listens, how effectively misunderstandings are repaired, and how much trust is built during the exchange. When communication is weakened by linguistic gaps, cultural misalignment, low digital literacy, or infrastructural instability, the very essence of clinical care, accurate diagnosis and empathetic support, falls apart. Therefore, this paper argues firmly that communication-related barriers are not peripheral obstacles; they are structural threats to health equity. Telehealth in rural Nigeria currently operates in a fragile ecosystem where infrastructural failures distort clinical meaning, digital illiteracy disrupts participation, language

differences impede comprehension, weak policies create inconsistencies, and mistrust undermines adherence.

These barriers collectively produce a dangerous reality: rural patients receive lower-quality, lower-fidelity consultations compared to their urban counterparts. As Omaghomi et al. (2024) makes clear, communication deficits widen existing inequalities rather than reduce them.

For these reasons, the evidence-based strategies and policy reforms proposed in this paper are not optional enhancements; they are urgent requirements. Training providers and patients in digital communication skills is essential for creating meaningful interaction.

Recommendations

1. Providers and community health workers training on digital communication skills and empathy-centred interaction should be strengthened
2. Culturally and linguistically tailored telehealth contents should be developed to enhance comprehension and trust among rural users.
3. Community engagement and feedback systems should be promoted to continually identify communication challenges and improve telehealth delivery.

References

- Abayomi, A., & Lukose, R. (2024). Bridging the healthcare divide: Exploring telehealth adoption in Nigeria's underserved communities. *Journal of Global Health Reports*, 8(2), e2024061. <https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC11989057>
- Abubakar, M. M., Sule, S. H., & Ukoha-Kalu, B. O. (2023). Challenges of digital health implementation in rural Nigeria: A cross-sectional survey of telehealth users. *African Journal of Health Systems*, 12(2), 45–57.
- Adepoju, P., Olowookere, S., & Osuji, A. (2022). Patient engagement and communication quality in Nigerian telemedicine platforms. *Journal of eHealth Research*, 18(3), 44–57.

- Al Shamsi, H., Almutairi, A. G., Al Mashrafi, S., & Al Kalbani, T. (2020). Implications of language barriers for healthcare: A systematic review. *Oman Medical Journal*, 35(2), e122. <https://doi.org/10.5001/omj.2020.40>
- Arize, I., & Onwujekwe, O. (2017). Acceptability and willingness to pay for telemedicine services in Enugu State, southeast Nigeria. *Digital Health*, 3, 2055207617715524. <https://doi.org/10.1177/2055207617715524>
- Chukwu, E., Nnaji, O., & Arize, I. (2024). Telemedicine in rural Africa: Opportunities and persistent inequities. *African Health Systems Review*, 9(2), 65–81.
- Cole, O. K., Abubakar, M. M., Isah, A., Sule, S. H., & Ukoha-Kalu, B. O. (2025). Barriers and facilitators of provision of telemedicine in Nigeria: A systematic review. *PLOS Digital Health*, 4(7), e0000934. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pdig.0000934> **PLOS+1**
- Eze, C., Ajah, C., & Okafor, F. (2023). Digital literacy and telemedicine adoption among rural populations in Nigeria. *Journal of e-Health and Informatics*, 6(1), 12–24.
- Gbolahan, O., Kokori, E., Osaghae, O., Lawal, Z. D., & Olaogun, T. (2023). Telehealth in Africa: Evolution and transformative impact before, during and after COVID-19: A narrative review. *Journal of Global Health Science*, 5(2), e14. <https://doi.org/10.35500/jghs.2023.5.e14>
- Gustavson, A. M., Lewinski, A. A., Fitzsimmons-Craft, E. E., Linke, S. E., Adams, A. S., Glasgow, R. E., & Klesges, L. M. (2023). Strategies to bridge equitable implementation of telehealth. *Interactive Journal of Medical Research*, 12(1), e40358. <https://doi.org/10.2196/40358>
- Kamal, S. A., Shafiq, M., & Kakria, P. (2020). Investigating acceptance of telemedicine services through an extended technology acceptance model (TAM). *Technology in Society*, 60, 101212. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.techsoc.2019.101212>
- Li, X., Huang, L., Zhang, H., & Liang, Z. (2025). Enabling telemedicine from the system-level perspective: Scoping review. *Journal of Medical Internet Research*, 27, e65932. <https://doi.org/10.2196/65932> **PubMed**
- Muoneke, N., & Nwafor, K. A. (2024). Evaluation of communication interventions for public knowledge and uptake of the National Health Insurance Scheme (NHIS) in Ebonyi State, Nigeria. *International Journal of Sub-Saharan African Research*, 2(2), 93–103.

- Nwosu, C., &Okafor, T. (2023). Mixed-methods assessment of patient experiences in remote consultations in South-East Nigeria. *Nigerian Journal of Community Medicine*, 21(4), 112–126.
- Odoemelum, C. C., &Orighomisan, M. B. (2025). Breaking barriers: Effective communication as a frontier for reducing healthcare disparities in Okada, Edo State, Nigeria. *International Journal of Sub-Saharan African Research*, 3(2), 150–164.
- Odoemelum, C. C. (2020). *Health communication: Theories, principles and practice*. Brightway Printers.
- Ogunniran, I. A., Abdulbaqi, A. M., Aliyu, U. I., Owolabi, R. O., & Musa, A. A. (2024). Role of telemedicine in increasing healthcare access in Nigeria: Challenges and effective adoption. *Comprehensive Health and Biomedical Studies*, 2(2), 1–3.
- Ogunyemi, A., Salami, K., &Badmus, F. (2021). Language and comprehension barriers in Nigerian healthcare delivery. *Nigerian Journal of Linguistics and Health Communication*, 5(2), 112–130.
- Ogunyemi, A. O., Oladele, K., &Aluko, A. (2021). Language barriers and the delivery of telemedicine services in sub-Saharan Africa. *BMC Health Services Research*, 21(1), 455. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12913-021-06457-1>
- Okonkwo, I., &Eze, E. (2022). Economic barriers to telehealth utilization in low-resource settings: A Nigerian perspective. *Nigerian Journal of Public Health*, 19(3), 78–90.
- Olayemi, B., & Musa, I. (2022). Adoption of telehealth among Nigerian clinicians: Communication and infrastructural predictors. *West African Journal of Telemedicine*, 3(1), 15–27.
- Olufunlayo, T. F., Ojo, O. O., Ozoh, O. B., Agabi, O. P., Opara, C. R., Taiwo, F. T., Fasanmade, O. A., &Okubadejo, N. U. (2023). Telemedicine ready or not? A cross-sectional assessment of telemedicine maturity of federally funded tertiary health institutions in Nigeria. *Digital Health*, 9, 20552076221150072. <https://doi.org/10.1177/20552076221150072> PubMed
- Omaghomi, T. T., Arowoogun, J. O., Akomolafe, O., Odilibe, I. P., &Elufioye, O. A. (2024). Telemedicine in rural Africa: A review of accessibility and impact. *World Journal of Advanced Research and Reviews*, 21(2), 421–431.

- Osei-Tutu, S., &Nwosu, I. (2024). Telemedicine in rural Africa: A review of accessibility and impact. *World Journal of Advanced Research and Reviews*, 21(1), 142–155.
- Seuren, L. M., Ilomäki, S., Dalmaijer, E., Shaw, S. E., &Stommel, W. J. P. (2024). Communication in telehealth: A state-of-the-art literature review of conversation-analytic research. *Research on Language and Social Interaction*, 57(1), 73–90. <https://doi.org/10.1080/08351813.2024.2305045> PubMed
- Smith, S. M., Jacobsen, J. H. W., Atlas, A. P., Khoja, A., Kovoov, J. G., Tivey, D. R., Babidge, W. J., Clancy, B., Jacobson, E., O'Neill, C., North, J. B., Wu, R., Maddern, G. J., &Frydenberg, M. (2021). Telehealth in surgery: An umbrella review. *ANZ Journal of Surgery*, 91(11), 2360–2375. <https://doi.org/10.1111/ans.17217>
- Street, R. L., Jr., Makoul, G., Arora, N. K., & Epstein, R. M. (2009). How does communication heal? Pathways linking clinician–patient communication to health outcomes. *Patient Education and Counseling*, 74(3), 295–301. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pec.2008.11.015>
- Totten, A., Womack, D., McDonagh, M., Davis-O'Reilly, C., Griffin, J., Blazina, I., & Elder, N. (2022). *Improving rural health through telehealth-guided provider-to-provider communication*. Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality, U.S. National Library of Medicine. <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/books/NBK588289/>
- White, S. J., Nguyen, A. D., Roger, P., Tse, T., Cartmill, J. A., Hatem, S., &Willcock, S. M. (2024). Tailoring communication practices to support effective delivery of telehealth in general practice. *BMC Primary Care*, 25, 232. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12875-024-02441-1>
- World Health Organization. (2019). *WHO guideline: Recommendations on digital interventions for health system strengthening*. World Health Organization. <https://www.who.int/publications/i/item/9789241550505>
- World Health Organization, Regional Office for the Western Pacific. (2020). *Implementing telemedicine services during COVID-19: Guiding principles and considerations for a stepwise approach*. World Health Organization. <https://www.who.int/westernpacific/publications/i/item/WPR-DSE-2020-032>